

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST -
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

312698

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO-YEAR)

09 NOV 06

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

**TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
ATTENTION ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
 ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION**

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME David WOODS		RANK P.O.	STAR NO. 7874	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 121		
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 90 [REDACTED] S. Marshfield		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 02-03 APR 06 2315-0400		BEAT OF INCIDENT 2221		LOCATION CODE 17		
ACCUSED	NAME 1. Wade GOLAB	RANK Det	STAR NO. 21389	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 620		
	2. Brian FORBERG	Det	21249			620		
	SEX/RACE D.O.B. 1. M/W [REDACTED] 73	DATE OF APPOINTMENT 05 SEP 1995	DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	PHYS. COND. CODE 01		
2. M/W [REDACTED] 60	10 JUL 1995	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	01			
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED 1. 2.		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE			
COMPLAINANTS		NAME [REDACTED]	ADDRESS** [REDACTED]	CITY STATE Chicago, IL	TELEPHONE [REDACTED]	SEX/RACE F/B	D.O.B./AGE [REDACTED] 51	PHYS. COND. CODE 01
VICTIMS		NAME [REDACTED]	ADDRESS** [REDACTED]	CITY STATE Chicago, IL	TELEPHONE [REDACTED]	SEX/RACE F/B	D.O.B./AGE [REDACTED] 51	PHYS. COND. CODE 01
WITNESSES		NAME [REDACTED]	ADDRESS** [REDACTED]	CITY STATE Chicago, IL	TELEPHONE [REDACTED]	SEX/RACE M/B	D.O.B./AGE 32	PHYS. COND. CODE 09
						F/B	73	01
						F/B	30	01

SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS
The complainant [REDACTED], of [REDACTED] Chicago, IL 60620 alleges that on 02-03 APR 06, between 2315 and 0400 hours an unknown department member during the processing, transportation, or investigation did the following:

1. Removed the victim's [REDACTED] wallet and failed to inventory or return it.
2. Removed the victim's [REDACTED] red hat and failed to inventory or return it.
3. Removed the victim's speakers and other miscellaneous clothing and failed to inventory or return them.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES*

- 01 Food Sales/Restaurant
- 02 Tavern/Liquor Store
- 03 Other Business Establishment
- 04 Police Building
- 05 Lockup Facility
- 06 Police Maintenance Facility
- 07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility
- 08 Other Police Property
- 09 Police Communications System
- 10 Court Room

- 11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
- 12 Park District Property
- 13 Airport
- 14 Public Property Other
- 15 Other Private Premise
- 16 Expressway/Interstate System
- 17 Public Way - Other
- 18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
- 19 Private Residence

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

- 01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
- 02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
- 03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
- 04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
- 05 Injured, Hospitalized
- 06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
- 07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
- 08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
- 09 Deceased
- 10 Deceased - Under Influence

* * IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

SUMMARY

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

SEE ATTACHED

ATTACHMENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	2,,3,4,7	17,35, 36	6, 17	37

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).

SEE ATTACHED

FINDINGS--RECOMMENDATIONS	DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	189
	04 MAY 06	09 NOV 06		

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

INV

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

CR # 312698 SUMMARY

ADDITIONAL WITNESSES:

- #3 Patrick MORAN, PFI, star #7718, employee # [REDACTED]
Unit 177, M/W, DOB [REDACTED] 1947, DOA 20 NOV 1972,
on duty, sworn, physical code 01
- #4 Brian J. SMITH, PFI, star #17100, employee # [REDACTED]
Unit 177, M/B, DOB [REDACTED] 1957, DOA 14 FEB 1983,
on duty, sworn, physical code 01
- #5 Joycetta DINWIDDIE, P.O., star #16981, employee # [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Unit 022, F/B, DOB [REDACTED] 1962, DOA
10 JUL 1995, on duty, sworn, physical code 01
- #6 Victor MORGAN, P.O., star #11971, employee # [REDACTED]
Unit 022, M/B, DOB [REDACTED] 1962, DOA 17 NOV 1986,
on duty sworn, physical code 01
- #7 Wade GOLAB, Detective, star #21389, employee #29396,
Unit 620, M/W, DOB [REDACTED] 1973, DOA, on duty, sworn,
physical code 01
- #8 Ronald WILKERSON, Sergeant, star #2617, employee # [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Unit 022, M/B, DOB [REDACTED] 1961, DOA
16 DEC 1985, on duty, sworn, physical code 01
- #9 Terri GRANDBERRY, P.O., star #3512, employee # [REDACTED]
Unit 022, F/B, DOB [REDACTED] 1971, DOA 10 OCT 2000,
on duty sworn, physical code 01
- #10 Lillie CRUMP-HALES, Captain, star #79, employee # [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Unit 022, F/B, DOB [REDACTED] 1949, DOA
08 JUN 1981, on duty, sworn, physical code 01
- #11 Justin MICHNA, PPO., star #16013, employee # [REDACTED]
Unit 023, M/W, DOB [REDACTED] 1981, DOA 29 AUG 2005,
on duty, sworn, physical code 01

#12 George NEWELL, P.O., star #9816, employee # [REDACTED]
Unit 022, M/B, DOB [REDACTED] 1965, DOA 02 JAN 1991,
on duty, sworn, physical code 01

#13 Maude NOFLIN, Sergeant, star #1165, employee # [REDACTED]
Unit 022, F/B, DOB [REDACTED] 1956, DOA 27 MAR 1991,
on duty, sworn, physical code 01

#14 David HEARD, P.O., star #16622, employee # [REDACTED]
Unit 022, M/B, DOB [REDACTED] 1967, DOA 27 AUG 1990,
on duty sworn, physical code 01

#15 David READUS, P.O., star #19744, employee # [REDACTED]
Unit 543, M/B, DOB [REDACTED] 1961, DOA 26 MAR 1990,
on duty, sworn, physical code 01

#16 Sheila FULKS, P.O., star #17596, employee # [REDACTED]
Unit 212, F/B, DOB [REDACTED] 1965, DOA 25 OCT 1999,
on duty, sworn, physical code 01

#17 Hernan LABOY Jr., P.O., star #10920, employee #38758,
Unit 022, F/B, DOB [REDACTED] 1958, DOA 26 MAR 1990,
on duty, sworn, physical code 01

#18 Samuel LAGUNAS, PPO, star #19202, employee # [REDACTED]
Unit 022, M/H, DOB [REDACTED] 1980, DOA 26 SEP 2005,
on duty, sworn, physical code 01

ALLEGATIONS

[REDACTED] of [REDACTED], Chicago, IL. 60620,
telephone number [REDACTED] relative to the allegations made
against unknown department members that during the processing,
transportation, or investigation they did the following:
1. Removed the victim's [REDACTED] wallet and failed to
inventory or return it.
2. Removed the victim's [REDACTED] red hat and failed to
inventory or return it.
3. Removed the victim's speakers and other miscellaneous clothing
and failed to inventory or return them.

EVIDENCE

1. Complaint Against Department Member
- 1a. Conflict Certification
2. Sworn Affidavit signed by complainant [REDACTED]
3. Report of P.O. David Woods #7874, Internal Affairs Division, regarding contact of complainant [REDACTED]
4. Interview of complainant [REDACTED]
5. Copy of complainant's Drivers License
6. Photo submitted by complainant [REDACTED]
7. Interview of witness [REDACTED]
8. General Offense Case Report [REDACTED]
9. Supplementary Case Report and Detective In Progress Report for RD # [REDACTED] (expended shell casings)
10. Inventory Report # [REDACTED] (fired bullets)
11. Inventory Report # [REDACTED] (blood card)
12. Inventory Report # [REDACTED] (sealed bullet envelope)
13. Inventory Report # [REDACTED] (clothing)
14. Inventory Report # [REDACTED]
15. Interview of witness [REDACTED]
16. Attendance and Assignment Record for the 22nd District dated 02-03 APR 06
17. Crime Scene Photos for RD # [REDACTED]
18. Witness report from PFI Patrick MORAN, star #7718
19. Witness report from PFI Brian J. SMITH, star #17100
20. Witness report from P.O. Joycetta DINWIDDIE, star #16981
21. Witness report from P.O. Victor MORGAN, star #11971
22. Witness report from Detective Wade GOLAB, star #21389
23. Witness report from Sergeant Ronald WILKERSON, star 2617
24. Witness report from P.O. Terri GRANDBERRY, star #3512
25. Witness report from Captain Lillie CRUMP-HALES, star 79
26. Witness report from PPO Justin MICHNA, star #16013
27. Witness report from P.O. George NEWELL, star #9816
28. Witness report from P.O. Terry HOOVER, star #18243
29. Witness report from Sergeant Maude NOFLIN, star #1165
30. Witness report from P.O. David HEARD, star #16622
31. Witness report from P.O. David READUS, star #19744
32. Witness report from P.O. Sheila FULKS, star #17596
33. Witness report from P.O. Hernan LABOY Jr., star #10920
34. Witness report from PPO Samuel LAGUNAS, star #19202
35. Formal Statement of Detective WADE GOLAB, star # 21389, Unit 620
36. Formal Statement of Detective BRIAN FORBERG, star # 21249, Unit 620

37. Medical Examiners inventory for Case # 031 APR 06 [REDACTED]

INVESTIGATION

This investigation was assigned to the undersigned on 04 MAY 06 (Attachment 1). The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that between 02-03 APR 06, and between 2315 and 0400 hours at [REDACTED] unknown department members during the processing transportation, or investigation failed to inventory or return items belonging to the victim [REDACTED] [REDACTED] of the victim [REDACTED] was the deceased in the Homicide investigation taking place at 90 [REDACTED] S. Marshfield.

On 04 MAY 06 the Reporting investigator contacted the complainant [REDACTED] by telephone to ascertain the nature of her complaint. [REDACTED] indicated her complaint and agreed to come to the Internal Affairs Offices to sign a Sworn Affidavit to be interviewed. [REDACTED] interview was scheduled for 11 MAY 06.

[REDACTED] was interviewed at the Internal Affairs Offices by the Reporting Investigator and accompanied by [REDACTED] who was present during the incident. [REDACTED] indicated that [REDACTED] personal property, being a wallet, speakers, a red hat, and various other items could not be located or found. The complainant indicated that she discovered the items were missing as a result of going to the Cook County Medical Examiners Office and not finding the items. The complainant related that she never observed anyone take any of the items and that the jewelry items were retrieved at the Medical Examiners Office. The complainant indicated that the items in question were the victims wallet, speakers, red hat, and various clothing items.

[REDACTED] indicated that she believed the items were on the victim because he usually wore them everyday. [REDACTED] indicated the speakers were not on the victim. [REDACTED] related that there were a larger number of people on the scene prior to and after the police arrived. (Approximately 15-20). [REDACTED] indicates there were people coming into the area until the scene was secured. [REDACTED] related that she believes the Chicago Police Department took the items. When asked why, [REDACTED] indicated that when [REDACTED] body was pulled out of the car the alleged wallet could not be seen. [REDACTED] indicated the red hat was on the victim's head. [REDACTED] related that she saw the items.

[REDACTED] indicated that she came to the scene and while looking out of the window observed several items near the victims body. [REDACTED] related that from the way the officers were standing they were blocking her view of the items. [REDACTED] related that she saw [REDACTED] hat above his head on the ground, his jacket was to the left of him, and his wallet was to the right.

[REDACTED] indicated that she took the photograph that was provided. [REDACTED] had nothing further to add.

The reporting investigator obtained copies of the General Offense case report, Supplementary report and the Detective's progress report to identify witnesses and Accused. Several inventories were also obtained in an effort to determine what if any items were inventoried and to ascertain if the items had any evidentiary value.

An additional witness named [REDACTED] was indicated by the complainant. [REDACTED] was contacted by telephone and interviewed. The following is a summary of [REDACTED] interview. [REDACTED] related that she is [REDACTED] of the complainant. [REDACTED] related that on 02 APR 06 she heard gunfire outside of her residence. [REDACTED] related that she asked [REDACTED] to get up and see what was happening. [REDACTED] related that she was concerned so she went to the window to see what was going on. [REDACTED] related that she observed the complainant's [REDACTED] in his vehicle on the passenger side laying on his stomach. [REDACTED] related that she observed a cell phone, speakers, and a package of condoms on the ground. [REDACTED] indicated that [REDACTED] had a hood pulled over his face and it couldn't be seen clearly. [REDACTED] related that she ran next door to [REDACTED] house and told her to call an ambulance. [REDACTED] related that the police arrived a short time later and the blocked off the scene and were walking around looking for bullets. [REDACTED] related that the officers found shell casings on both sides of the victim's vehicle. [REDACTED] related that the victim's body was still inside of the vehicle. [REDACTED] indicated the paramedics came and attempted to remove the victim from the vehicle. [REDACTED] related the victim was removed with police assistance. [REDACTED] indicated that the paramedics check [REDACTED] for vital signs and found none.

[REDACTED] related that a police officer took her in the house and informed the family that the victim was deceased. [REDACTED] related that [REDACTED] was overcome with grief and later went to the hospital. [REDACTED] relates that she went in and out of the house several times and during one of the times thinks that she saw a wallet on the parkway but was not sure. [REDACTED] related that she was not sure as to the disposition of the other items she saw. [REDACTED] indicated that she did retrieve the victim's cell phone. [REDACTED] related that the officers conducting the investigation did not take the victim's vehicle after processing the crime scene. [REDACTED] indicated that she spoke with the Detectives on the scene about her observations and later, went to the hospital with her aunt. [REDACTED] had nothing further to add to her statement.

The reporting investigator obtained copies of the crime scene photos for examination. The crime scene photos indicate there was a red hat, a jacket, and speakers present during the processing of the crime scene. There was no conclusive indication that a wallet was present in any of the photos viewed.

The Reporting investigator examined the submitted case reports and Attendance and Assignment Records to determine the involved members. There were 17 additional witnesses identified. They were asked to submit To/From subject reports to the reporting investigator. The following is a summary of their reports.

Police Forensic Investigator Patrick MORAN star#7718, assigned to Unit 177 was assigned as the forensic investigator for the crime scene. MORAN related that his role was to identify, record , and collect physical evidence regarding the fatal shooting that was recorded under RD [REDACTED] MORAN related that he observed discharged cartridge cases, keys, a coat, and a red head covering near the body. MORAN related that two speakers were on the street along the drivers side of a Blue Cadillac. MORAN indicated that he was not responsible for guarding or securing the crime scene. MORAN related that he was responsible for inventorying only articles with probative value. MORAN indicated the only items he inventoried were cartridge cases and metal fragments under inventories [REDACTED]

Police Forensic Investigator Brian J. SMITH star #17100, assigned to Unit 177 was also assigned to the crime scene recorded under RD [REDACTED] SMITH related that his role was to photograph, videotape, and collect physical evidence at the scene of the Homicide. SMITH indicated that he observed fired cartridge cases, a coat, a set of keys, and a red du-rag on the victim's head. SMITH indicated that he saw a set of speakers in the street by the drivers door. SMITH related that he was not responsible for guarding the crime scene , but that he was responsible for inventorying items of probative value. SMITH related that he also noticed a red hat on the parkway and other items of clothing on the parkway grass. SMITH indicated that he inventoried cartridge cases under inventory # [REDACTED] and metal fragments under inventory [REDACTED] collected from the scene of the Homicide.

Police Officer Joycetta DINWIDDIE star#16981, Unit 022 related in her report that she was on the crime scene at 90 [REDACTED] S. Marshfield. DINWIDDIE indicated that her role on the scene was to guard the crime scene. DINWIDDIE indicated that she noticed a set of keys that were given to the victims family after the victim was placed into the squadrol. DINWIDDIE additionally related that she noticed a red hat and some speakers lying on the ground near the victim and his vehicle. DINWIDDIE indicated that the jacket the victim was wearing was removed by the crime lab to reveal his injuries but that she did not observe a wallet. DINWIDDIE indicated that she was not responsible fro inventorying any items at the scene and that she did not recover any items from the scene.

Police Officer Victor MORGAN star #11971, Unit 022, indicated in his report that he was assigned by O.E.C. to assist at the crime scene at 90th and Marshfield. MORGAN related that he was working with a partner, PPO Justin MICHNA. MORGAN indicated that their position was on the outer perimeter to assist with crowd control. MORGAN related that during this time, he did not observe any items on or near the deceased person.

MORGAN related that once the initial investigation was completed, he was assigned to remove the body of the deceased victim. SMITH related that he did not observe a red hat, wallet, speakers, or anything lying around the victim. SMITH indicated the only clothing he observed was still on the deceased victim. SMITH related that he did not inventory any items from the scene.

Detective Wade GOLAB star #21389, Unit 620, submitted a To/From subject report as a witness. GOLAB indicated that he was on the scene of the homicide reported under RD #HM-268232. GOLAB indicated that he was assigned as an assisting unit. GOLAB related that he observed a red hat and a jacket near the victim. GOLAB additionally indicated that he saw speakers in the street outside of the driver's door of the victim's vehicle. GOLAB indicates that he was not responsible for inventorying items at the crime scene and that he did not inventory any items. GOLAB was later named as an Accused and provided a statement as such.

Sergeant Ronald WILKERSON star #2617, Unit 022, submitted a witness account for the incident. WILKERSON related that he was assigned to assist with crowd control at the scene of a Homicide at 90 [redacted] S. Marshfield. WILKERSON indicated that he taped off the crime scene and began to disband the crowd that gathered. Within the inner perimeter WILKERSON reported that he did not observe any items near the deceased person. WILKERSON indicated that his responsibility was primarily crowd control.

Police Officer Terri GRANDBERRY star #3512, Unit 022, related that she was present at the crime scene. GRANDBERRY indicates that her responsibility was to guard the crime scene. GRANDBERRY related that when she arrived on the scene, the deceased victim was covered up. GRANDBERRY that to her knowledge, a red hat, speakers, a wallet, or items of clothing were observed. GRANDBERRY indicated that she did not recover any items from the scene and that she was not responsible for recovering any items from the scene.

Captain Lillie CRUMP-HALES star #79, Unit 022, indicated in her witness report that she was assigned as the 1st watch commander at the time of the incident. CRUMP-HALES indicated that she was in charge of the crime scene at 90 [redacted] S. Marshfield. CRUMP-HALES related that she did not see a red hat, speakers, wallet, or any other items of clothing. CRUMP-HALES indicates that there were 022 District officers assigned to guard the crime scene. She further related that she had no knowledge of any items that needed to be inventoried by patrol or whether there was anything to inventory.

PPO Justin MICHNA star #16013, currently assigned to the 23rd District, related in his report that he was on the crime scene on the northwest corner to protect it from the crowd that was gathering near the north boundaries. MICHNA indicated that he was assigned out of roll call for crime scene protection. MICHNA indicated that he did not observe any items on or near the victim.

MICHNA indicated that he does not recall any items on or near the victim when he was taken to the Medical Examiners Office. MICHNA related that he did not observe a red hat, speakers, or a wallet. He further indicated that he did not recover any items or inventory them.

Police Officer George NEWELL star #9816, Unit 022, related in his report that he was working with a partner, Police Officer David HEARD. NEWELL indicated that he could not recall any information about the crime scene or his responsibility on the scene. NEWELL indicated he did not inventory any items from the scene.

Police Officer Terry HOOVER star #18243, Unit 022 related that he worked with a partner, Police Officer Derrick SMITH star #8537. HOOVER related that he never responded to the scene and that the incident took place prior to his tour of duty. HOOVER indicated he had no knowledge of the situation.

Sergeant Maude NOFLIN star #1165, Unit 022 indicated in her report that she had no knowledge of the details of the incident to which she responded. Sergeant NOFLIN indicated that she was the sector sergeant for the 1st watch after the homicide occurred.

Police Officer David HEARD star #16622, Unit 022, related that he was assigned to the crime scene on Marshfield for crowd control. HEARD indicated that he did not observe a red hat, speakers, a wallet, or any other items. HEARD related that he had no responsibility to recover items at the time scene and did not recover any items.

Police Officer David READUS star #19744, Unit 543, indicated that he was on the scene during the Homicide investigation. READUS indicated that he was assigned as the paper car. READUS related that he did not recall any items near the victim. READUS further stated that he was not responsible for guarding the crime scene and did not recover any items. According to READUS the only items of clothing observed were on the victim.

Police Officer Sheila FULKS star #17596, Unit 212, related that she was assigned to the crime scene but was not the first unit to arrive. FULKS indicated that she was the assigned paper car but she can't recall what if any items were near the victim. FULKS stated that she was assisted in securing the crime scene by other units. FULKS indicated that she did not recall a red hat or wallet on the scene. FULKS states that there may have been a speaker on the ground near a parked vehicle but she did not recover or record any evidence.

Police Officer Hernan LABOY Jr. star #10920, Unit 022, stated in his report that he responded to a call at 90 [redacted] S. Marshfield on 02 APR 06. LABOY indicated that when he arrived he observed a male black that appeared to have suffered a gunshot wound. LABOY related that he requested EMS. LABOY related that he was not assigned as the paper car but that he obtained crowd control of the scene.

LABOY indicated that he did not observe any items belonging to the victim. LABOY also stated that he did not inventory any items from the scene but did submit a supplementary report. LABOY had nothing further to add.

PPO Samuel LAGUNAS star #19202, Unit 022, submitted a To/From subject report relating to the Homicide that occurred at 90 [REDACTED] S. Marshfield. LAGUNAS related that he and his partner P.O. LABOY were an assisting unit who helped secure the scene. LAGUNAS stated that he did not observe any items near the victim. LAGUNAS indicated that he and his partner were the first unit on the scene so their initial responsibility was to secure the crime scene until the paper car arrived. LAGUNAS indicated that he did not observe a red hat, speakers, a wallet or any other clothing items. LAGUNAS denied having responsibility for inventorying items from the crime scene.

The Detectives assigned to the crime scene according to Department directives, had the responsibility of releasing the crime scene. The Detectives assigned to the crime scene were Detective Wade GOLABstar #21389 and Detective Brian FORBERG star # 21249. The Detectives were named as the Accused based upon their role in the investigation. Both Detectives were interviewed at the Internal Affairs Offices. The Following is a summary of their interviews.

Detective Wade GOLAB was interviewed on 06 SEP 06 at approximately 0600 hours. GOLAB related that he was working in Unit 620 on beat 5219 on 02-03 APR 06. GOLAB indicated that when he arrived he observed a vehicle with bullet holes in it and the deceased victim laying in the grass. GOLAB indicated that when he arrived the crime scene was secured. GOLAB indicated that he observed both police and civilians on the scene when he arrived. GOLAB denied handling at anytime, a wallet belonging to Kory NORRIS. GOLAB indicated that he did not inventory any items at the scene but that the crime lab who was assigned did. GOLAB related that the only items inventoried were those of evidentiary value. GOLAB indicated that the items that were not of evidentiary value were released to the family. GOLAB indicated that he did not remember handling a red hat belonging to the victim and that he may have looked through the victims jacket but left it on the scene. GOLAB indicated that he did not remember speakers on the scene but felt they did not have evidentiary value. GOLAB related that he was working with a partner, Detective Brian FORBERG on the case. GOLAB stated that everything that was not inventoried on the scene was released to the family. GOLAB indicated that after interviewing two family members, he told them that they were done and could have the items. GOLAB was not sure to whom he released the items only that they were family members. GOLAB related that he did not remember any family member take possession of the victims items. GOLAB stated that the victim was out of the vehicle when he arrived and that after the scene was processed, he believes he gave the keys to a family member. GOLAB indicated that he found condoms and the victims coat. He further stated that those items were left on the scene. GOLAB indicated that he and FORBERG were the last two police officers on the scene and that they released the crime scene at the end of their investigation.

Detective Brian FORBERG star 21249, Unit 620, was interviewed at the Internal Affairs Offices on 12 OCT 06, at 1005 hours. FORBERG related that he responded as the investigating Detective at 9003 S. Marshfield. FORBERG indicated that he was responsible for processing the crime scene. FORBERG stated that when he arrived, he noticed that the scene was secured by 22nd district personnel. He additionally indicated that he observed several items. FORBERG stated that he observed the victim's coat, his hat, a pack of condoms, and speakers that were on the street near the victim's car. FORBERG stated both car doors were open. FORBERG stated the crime scene was secured. FORBERG indicated that there were family members that were on the porch and that the part of the crime scene that was not protected by tape was protected by standing personnel. FORBERG related that he did not observe or handle a wallet belonging to the victim [REDACTED]. FORBERG stated that he did not inventory any items from the crime scene but the Crime Lab did. He indicated that he did not recall what items were inventoried however, he indicated that only items of evidentiary value were inventoried. FORBERG related that there were items of non-evidentiary value observed on the scene. He stated that those items were the victim's coat, some condoms, and some speakers. FORBERG indicated that he did not recall any other items being on the scene.

FORBERG was asked what action was taken with regard to the non-evidentiary items and he related that they were released to the family. FORBERG indicated that family members excluding the complainant Siporah NORRIS were present. FORBERG identified Lakisha HUGHES as being present. FORBERG stated that he informed the family that the items were not going to be recovered and taken into police custody. He further stated to the family that they could retrieve the items. FORBERG indicated that he did not release the items to a specific family member but made a general statement to the family at the conclusion of their investigation. FORBERG had nothing further to add to his statement.

The Reporting investigator has reviewed the available evidence regarding this case and several observations are noted. The complainant indicated that she did not observe a specific officer or officers remove any items from the crime scene. All witnesses corroborate the fact that speakers, a red hat, and a coat were on the scene. Those items were not taken into police custody because they possessed no evidentiary value. The Accused officers had an obligation to release the crime scene and did so at the conclusion of their investigation. The evidence obtained does not support the fact that a wallet was ever present on the scene. The crime lab photos do not conclusively show it, nor does the photograph offered by the complainant. Additionally, the items not taken into police custody by the Chicago Police Department or released to the family, were inventoried by The Cook County Medical Examiners Office. Those items were released to the complainant by the Cook County Medical Examiners Office.

Based upon the evidence obtained the findings are as follows:

**Internal Affairs Division
General Investigations Section**

09 NOV 2006
CR #312698

FINDINGS:

ACCUSED Detective Wade GOLAB, star #21389

ALLEGATION #1: UNFOUNDED
ALLEGATION #2 UNFOUNDED
ALLEGATION #3 UNFOUNDED

ACCUSED Detective Brian FORBERG, star #21249

ALLEGATION #1: **UNFOUNDED**
ALLEGATION #2 **UNFOUNDED**
ALLEGATION #3 **UNFOUNDED**

RECOMMENDATION: No disciplinary action warranted

P.O. David Woods, star #7874

Approved:

Supervising Sergeant

Commanding Officer
General Investigations Section
Internal Affairs Division